

Institutional Strategic Planning Committee
Monday, February 4, 2008
Meeting Minutes

Present: Linda Dielman, Katie Dykehouse, Carol Haas, Tim Hartshorne, Amy McGinnis, Becky Oosterhoff, Orlando Perez, Roger Rehm, Carole Richardson, Catherine Riordan, Kate Rosier, Nathan Tallman, Julia Wallace and Todd Zakrajsek.

Absent: David Burdette, Janine Janosky, Mike Leto, Sue Ann Martin and Brad Swartz.

Guest: Pat Benson, Mary Henley and Polly Matyora.

I. Round Robin – Priority Committee Chairs

Priority I – Todd Zakrajsek

- The committee meets again tomorrow and is not planning on coming forward with a proposal.

Priority II – Orlando Perez

- The committee's last meeting was with Jason Bentley – discussed current diversity efforts with FYE.
- Looking to work with the ad hoc task force from the senate to develop a concrete proposal or set of ideas. The proposal would likely be for one-time funding for training.
- Bill Dailey and Tyrone Jordan have resigned and Maureen Eke is on sabbatical. The committee is asking the ISPC to approve Dale Sanders (graduate assistant) as an additional member. The committee unanimously approved.

Priority III – Kate Rosier

- FID – it looks like the administration is looking into this need so no proposal will be coming forth from the committee.
- Research Professorship – the committee is looking into developing a UBAC proposal since the needed funding would be base funding. Gary Shapiro will be attending the committee's March meeting to give feedback/input/support and the committee will encourage him to gain support from the other deans.

Priority IV – Linda Dielman

- The committee met with Janine Janosky and Becky Oosterhoff to discuss the KPIs.
- The committee is looking to fund projects that are measurable.
- Coming forward with a proposal to create a database that will measure student, faculty, and staff volunteer efforts and hours – Paul Albee's Center for Software Development and the new FID could be used. Also looking to create a database to track on-campus public service events and the number attending.

Priority V – Roger Rehm

- Many of the Priority V KPIs are perceptual – working toward using a group of five questions to create an index. There is an index used for the financial health of the university, but this is not really helpful unless it is defined – Roger will be meeting with David Burdette to discuss this.
- Ethics Center – planning another proposal for CMU 2010 funding. Committee also looking to submit a proposal to fund a policy office.

II. Discussion Concerning Priority Committee Proposals

- Members agreed that there should not be a call for major research proposals.
- Option A: Priority Committee proposals go directly to the ISPC without a rubric score from the committee and other proposals would come to the ISPC with a rubric score

from the committee. The ISPC would then evaluate all proposals and make recommendations. A maximum budget amount could be set in advance for the committee proposals (example: no single proposal over \$100,000 and a maximum of \$200,000 for all priority committee proposals leaving \$500,000 for campus proposals).

- Option B: Review and fund some/all of the priority proposals first and then use the remaining funds for campus proposals.
- Option C: Priority Committee proposals would not be considered for CMU 2010 funding – they would go to the UBAC or to the VPs for funding.
- Riordan asked members to consider these possibilities and a decision will be made at the next ISPC meeting.
- Members will also consider whether current rubric would be appropriate for Priority Committee proposals.

III. CMU/Flint Project

Presentation made by Mary Henley, Pat Benson and Polly....

- Michigan Schools in the Middle office has recently changed their name to the Center for Excellence in Education. GEAR-UP started in 1999 and the partnership with this CMU 2010 project has been a good one.
- The goal of the project is to recruit and retain Flint students and create a mentor program. Over 200 Flint juniors applied and 40 were selected to be in the program and to be matched up with a mentor
- Important Components of the Program: recognition, mentor training, admissions counseling, leadership institute, summer academy (team building activities), identifying strengths and relationship building.
- Mentors are CMU students who have made a 3-year commitment to the program. Mentors go through training and will continue to meet with the Flint students when they come to CMU.
- The Flint students have been competing for several different scholarships, but more scholarship opportunities are needed.
- Of the 40 Flint students, 38% (15) have been accepted to CMU, 30% (12) are under review and 32% (13) have not applied – will find out soon if these 32% are applying elsewhere.
- In their first year at CMU they will take FYE 101, continue to meet with mentor weekly, connect with faculty/staff mentor, complete a mid-semester progress report, work with an academic advisor to develop 4-5 year plan and attend monthly group meetings. RSO information, counseling services and volunteer experiences will also be available.
- Challenges – funding, tuition waiver for Summer 2008, ACT score issues.
- In order for another cohort to be selected and for the program to continue, additional funding is needed.

Respectfully submitted, Kara Beery, recorder